

## REMARKS

### 1. Support for the amendments

The current amendments to the claims simply clarify claim language, and thus the amendments do not constitute new matter.

### 2. Rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph


The Patent Office rejected claims 30, 44, and 61-66 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, based on the assertion that the specification did not enable those of skill in the to make and use the invention as claimed. Specifically, the Patent Office asserted that a control step is required to enable the invention. The Applicants traverse this rejection, but have nonetheless amended claim 30 to require a control step in the claimed invention. Thus, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

Based on the foregoing, the Applicants believe that the application is ready for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone or personal interview would expedite prosecution of the instant application, the Patent Office is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (312) 913-2106.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: 7-27-05

By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David Harper  
Reg. No. 42,636  
**McDonnell, Boehnen  
Hulbert & Berghoff**  
300 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606